

# Forcing over choiceless models (4/4)

---

Philipp Schlicht

120 Years of the Axiom of Choice, Leeds, 8-12 July 2024

# Outline

0. Introduction
1. Adding Cohen subsets by  $\text{Add}(A, 1)$ 
  - Preliminaries
  - Cohen's first model and Dedekind finite sets  $A$
  - Properties of  $\text{Add}(\kappa, 1)$  and fragments of DC
  - Adding Cohen subsets over  $L(\mathbb{R})$
2. Chain conditions and cardinal preservation
  - Variants of the ccc
  - An iteration theorem
  - A  $\text{ccc}_2$  forcing that collapses  $\omega_1$
3. Generic absoluteness principles inconsistent with choice
  - Hartog numbers
  - Very strong absoluteness and consequences
  - Gitik's model
4. Random algebras without choice
  - Completeness
  - $\text{ccc}_2^*$

# Random algebras

We analyse **random algebras** in ZF and show they can be iterated while preserving cardinals.

Let  $\mu$  denote **Lebesgue** measure on  $2^\omega$ .

Random forcing consists of all Borel subsets of  $2^\omega$  with positive measure. Let  $A \leq B$  if  $A \subseteq_\mu B$  (i.e.,  $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ ).

## **Fact**

*Any random real over  $V$  is random over every inner model  $M \subseteq V$  of ZF.*

# Random algebras

Any Borel subset  $A$  of  $2^\alpha$  with the product topology has a countable support, so its Lebesgue measure  $\mu(A)$  is well-defined.

In ZFC, the **random algebra** on  $\alpha$  consists of Borel subsets of  $2^\alpha$ . Let  $A \leq B$  if  $A \subseteq_\mu B$ .

$\mathbb{R}_{\omega+\omega}$  is

- a “**product**” of random reals with “random support”
- a 2-step **iteration** of random forcing

# Random algebras

Always suppose that  $\alpha$  is multiplicatively closed.

## Definition

An  $\alpha$ -Borel code (for a subset of  $2^\alpha$ ) is an element  $x$  of  $2^\alpha$ .

- If  $x_0x_1 = 00$ , then  $x$  codes a basic open set in the rest of  $x$
- If  $x_0x_1 = 01$ , then  $x$  codes the complement of the set in the rest of  $x$
- If  $x_0x_1 = 10$ , then  $x$  codes the union of the sets listed in the rest of  $x$

A Borel code for a subset of  $2^\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -Borel code with countable support.

- Let  $B_x$  denote the Borel ( $\alpha$ -Borel) set coded by  $x$ .

## Definition

The random algebra on  $\alpha$  is the set of Borel codes for subsets of  $2^\alpha$ . It is quasi-ordered by  $x \leq y$  if  $B_x \subseteq_\mu B_y$ .

## Fact

- If  $2^\omega$  is a countable union of countable sets, then every subset of  $2^\omega$  is Borel
- If  $\omega_1$  is singular, then there exists a Borel subset of  $2^\omega$  without a Borel code.

# Random algebras

## Proposition

The random algebra on any  $\alpha$  is

- complete
- locally complete
- uniformly narrow

**Local completeness** is a property reminiscent of the fact that a maximal antichain in random forcing in an inner model is an antichain in  $V$ .

It is used to show that random algebras are **narrow**.

## Corollary

- *Random algebras can be **iterated** without collapsing cardinals.*
- *$\mathbb{R}_*$ -**absoluteness** implies that all uncountable cardinals are singular.*

$\mathbb{R}_*$ -absoluteness states that any  $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$ -generic extension has the same theory as  $V$ .

$\mathbb{R}_\alpha$  is a quasi-Boolean algebra. Its quotient by  $=_\mu$  is a Boolean algebra. To show that  $\mathbb{R}_\alpha$  is complete, it suffices to show that every subset has a **supremum**.

## Theorem (Lebesgue's density theorem)

Suppose that  $A$  is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $2^\omega$ . The set

$$D(A) := \{x \in 2^\omega \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} = 1\}$$

of its **density** points satisfies  $\mu(A \Delta D(A)) = 0$ .

Hence  $A$  can be **reconstructed** up to a nullset from relative measures on basic open sets.

We modify this reconstruction to  $2^\alpha$ . Let  $2^{(\alpha)}$  denote the set of finite partial functions  $f: \alpha \rightarrow 2$ .

## Definition

For any  $A \in \mathbb{R}_\alpha$ , call

$$\mu_A = \langle \mu_{A,t} := \frac{\mu(A \cap N_t)}{\mu(N_t)} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

its **footprint**.

We have  $A \leq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_{A,t} \leq \mu_{B,t}$  for all  $t \in 2^{(\alpha)}$ .



## Definition

Suppose that  $x \in 2^\alpha$  and  $\vec{\mu} = \langle \mu_t \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

1. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $x$  is an  $\epsilon$ -density point of  $\vec{\mu}$  if

$$\exists s \forall t \supseteq s \mu_t > 1 - \epsilon.$$

2.  $x$  is a density point of  $\vec{\mu}$  if  $x$  is an  $\epsilon$ -density point of  $\vec{\mu}$  for all  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ .

Let  $D(\mu)$  denote the  $\alpha$ -Borel code induced by 2. Its definition is absolute between transitive models of ZF.

$D(\mu)$  is not a Borel code, but we can reduce it to one.

# Random algebras

Any  $\alpha$ -Borel code  $A$  can be reduced to a Borel code as follows.

## Definition

The **reduct**  $\text{re}(A)$  of  $A$  is the following Borel code.

1. If  $A$  codes a basic open set, then  $\text{re}(A) = A$ .
2. If  $A_0$  codes  $\neg A_1$ , then  $\text{re}(A_0)$  codes  $\neg \text{re}(A_1)$ .
3. If  $A$  codes  $\bigcup_{i < \alpha} A_i$ , then  $\text{re}(A)$  codes  $\bigcup_{i \in I} \text{re}(A_i)$ , where
  - $I$  is the largest subset of  $\alpha$  such that for each  $j \in I$ ,  $A_j$  adds measure to  $\bigcup_{i \in I \cap j} \text{re}(A_i)$ .

## Fact

In every outer model  $M$  where  $\alpha$  is countable,

- $\text{re}(A) =_{\mu} A$
- $D(\mu_A) =_{\mu} A$  by Lebesgue's density theorem in  $M$ .

$\text{re}(A) =_{\mu} A$  may fail in  $V$ , since  $A$  may be an  $\omega_1$  length union of singletons and CH holds.

# Random algebras

By the previous fact,  $A^* := \text{re}(D(\mu_A)) =_{\mu} A$  in  $V$ . The map  $A \mapsto A^*$  picks a representative in each equivalence class.

- We can replace  $\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}$  by the set of  $A^*$ . This definition of  $\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}$  is **absolute** between transitive models of ZF.

Given a subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}$ , we construct its supremum. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{X,t} &:= \sup_{A \in X} \mu_{A,t} \\ \mu_X &:= \langle \mu_{X,t} \mid t \in 2^{(\alpha)} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

## Fact

In any **outer** model  $M$  of  $V$  where  $\alpha$  is countable,  $D(\mu_X)$  is a **least** upper bound for  $X$ .

**Proof.** If  $B$  is an upper bound for  $X$ , then  $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$  for all  $A \in X$  and hence  $\mu_X \leq \mu_B$ . Then  $D(\mu_X) \leq D(\mu_B) =_{\mu} B$ . □

## Fact

$\mathbb{R}_{\alpha}$  is complete.

**Proof.**  $\text{re}(D(\mu_X))$  is a **least** upper bound for  $X$  in some outer model and hence in  $V$ . □

## Definition

A forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is **locally ccc** if it is ccc in  $\mathbf{HOD}_x$  for all finite  $x$  containing  $\mathbb{P}$ .

The next property is weaker than the existence of definable suprema.

- It holds for random algebras and all well-ordered forcings.
- It implies that any  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic filter over  $V$  is  $(\mathbb{P} \cap \mathbf{HOD}_x)$ -generic over  $\mathbf{HOD}_x$ .
- With locally ccc, it implies uniformly narrow. Hence well-ordered locally ccc forcings and random algebras can be iterated while preserving cardinals

## Definition

A forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is **locally complete** if there exists a finite set containing  $\mathbb{P}$  such that: For any nonempty  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ , there exists some  $p \leq \sup(A)$  in  $\mathbb{P} \cap \mathbf{HOD}_{x \cup \{A\}}$ .

# Random algebras

## Lemma

Suppose  $\theta$  is an infinite ordinal and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a locally complete forcing.

1. If  $\mathbb{P}$  is ccc, then it is *narrow*.
2. If  $\mathbb{P}$  is locally ccc, then it is *uniformly narrow*.

**Proof sketch.** We prove 2. The proof of 1 is similar.

Suppose that  $f: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mu$  is a partial  $\parallel$ -homomorphism (generalised antichain).

Let  $A := \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $A_\alpha := f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$  and  $\vec{A} = \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{ran}(f) \rangle$ .

Let  $H := \text{HOD}_{x \cup \{\vec{A}\}}$ , where  $x$  witnesses that  $\mathbb{P}$  is locally complete.

For each  $\alpha \in \text{ran}(f)$ , there exists some  $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{P} \cap H$  with  $p_\alpha \leq \text{sup}(A_\alpha)$ . We can assume that  $p_\alpha$  is least such  $p$  in the canonical well-order of  $H$ .

- Then  $p_\alpha \perp p_\beta$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

Let  $\lambda \leq \theta^+$  be the chain condition of  $\mathbb{P} \cap H$  in  $H$ , i.e., the least  $\nu$  such that there exists no antichain of size  $\nu$ . This is always a regular cardinal in models of ZFC.

- Then  $|\text{ran}(f)|^H < \lambda \leq \theta^+$ .

Let  $G(f)$  be the least injective function  $F: \text{ran}(f) \rightarrow \theta$  in  $H$ .

□

## Problem

Is  $\mathbb{R}_\kappa$  *ccc*<sub>2</sub> for every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ ?

Can there be Dedekind finite antichains?

## Problem

Is  $\mathbb{R}_{\omega_1}$   *$\sigma$ -linked* in ZFC?

## Problem

Over Gitik's model, does every atomless  *$\sigma$ -closed* forcing collapse  $\omega_1$ ?

## Problem

Over Gitik's model, can you add *fresh* subsets of some uncountable cardinal?