

# Strong Measure Zero Sets in the Higher Cantor Space

Nick Chapman

joint work with Johannes Schürz

Seventh Workshop on Generalised Baire Spaces  
February 2024

# Table of Contents

- 1 The Classical Case
- 2 Strong Measure Zero at  $\kappa$
- 3 A Model of  $\mathcal{SN} = [2^\kappa]^{\leq \kappa^+}$

# Strong Measure Zero Sets

## Definition (Borel 1919)

A set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is called *strong measure zero* iff for all  $f \in \omega^\omega$  there exists a sequence  $\langle \eta_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\forall n : \eta_n \in 2^{f(n)}$  and  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} [\eta_n]$ .

## Observation

*The set  $\mathcal{SN}$  of strong measure zero sets is a countably closed ideal which is contained in the ideal of Lebesgue measure zero sets and contains no perfect sets.*

# Strong Measure Zero Sets

## Definition (Borel 1919)

A set  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is called *strong measure zero* iff for all  $f \in \omega^\omega$  there exists a sequence  $\langle \eta_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  such that  $\forall n : \eta_n \in 2^{f(n)}$  and  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} [\eta_n]$ .

## Borel Conjecture (BC)

Every strong measure zero set is countable.

# Consistency of BC

- $\text{CON}(\neg\text{BC})$  was shown by Sierpiński (Sierpiński 1928) - any Luzin set is strong measure zero
- In fact,  $\mathfrak{b} = \aleph_1$  implies  $\neg\text{BC}$  (Goldstern, Judah, and Shelah 1993)

## Theorem (Laver 1976)

*BC holds in the Laver model (countable support iteration of Laver forcing of length  $\omega_2$ ).*

## Strong Measure Zero Sets, Again

Blanket assumption:  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ .

### Definition (Halko 1996)

A set  $X \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is called *strong measure zero* iff for all  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  there exists a sequence  $\langle \eta_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  such that  $\forall i : \eta_i \in 2^{f(i)}$  and  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i < \kappa} [\eta_i]$ .

### Observation

*The set  $\mathcal{SN}$  of strong measure zero sets is a  $<\kappa^+$ -closed ideal containing all singletons.*

# Strong Measure Zero Sets, Again

Blanket assumption:  $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ .

## Definition (Halko 1996)

A set  $X \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is called *strong measure zero* iff for all  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  there exists a sequence  $\langle \eta_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  such that  $\forall i : \eta_i \in 2^{f(i)}$  and  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i < \kappa} [\eta_i]$ .

## $BC(\kappa)$

Every strong measure zero subset of  $2^\kappa$  has size  $\kappa$ .

## Consistency of $\text{BC}(\kappa)$

- We again have that  $\mathfrak{d}_\kappa = \kappa^+$  implies  $\neg\text{BC}(\kappa)$  (Halko and Shelah 2001)

What about  $\text{CON}(\text{BC}(\kappa))$ ?



## Consistency of $BC(\kappa)$

### Theorem (Halko and Shelah 2001)

Assume  $\kappa = \mu^+$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $A \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is strong measure zero
- For every  $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ , the family  $(\bar{F} \upharpoonright X)'' A$  is not dominating in  ${}^\kappa X$ , where  $(\bar{F} \upharpoonright X)(a) := \langle F_i(a \upharpoonright i) : i \in X \rangle$  and  $F_i : 2^i \rightarrow |2^i|$  are bijections

### Corollary (Halko and Shelah 2001)

$ZFC \vdash \neg BC(\kappa)$  for successor  $\kappa$ .

### Proof.

By the above theorem we have  $[2^\kappa]^{< \mathfrak{d}_\kappa} \subseteq \mathcal{SN}$ . For  $\mathfrak{d}_\kappa = \kappa^+$  we already know that  $\neg BC(\kappa)$  follows. □

## Consistency of $\text{BC}(\kappa)$

### Theorem (Halko and Shelah 2001)

Assume  $\kappa = \mu^+$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $A \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is strong measure zero
- For every  $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ , the family  $(\bar{F} \upharpoonright X)'' A$  is not dominating in  $\kappa^X$ , where  $(\bar{F} \upharpoonright X)(a) := \langle F_i(a \upharpoonright i) : i \in X \rangle$  and  $F_i : 2^i \rightarrow |2^i|$  are bijections

Note that for  $\kappa$  inaccessible the theorem fails for  $2^\kappa$ .

### Open Problem

Is  $\text{BC}(\kappa)$  consistent?

# What About a Laver Iteration?

## Definition

A  $<\kappa$ -closed tree  $T \subseteq \kappa^{<\kappa}$  is a  $\kappa$ -Laver tree iff  $|\text{succ}_T(\eta)| = \kappa$  for every node  $\eta \in T$  above the stem.

## Theorem (Khomskii, Koelbing, Laguzzi, and Wohofsky 2023)

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion consisting of  $\kappa$ -Laver trees which is closed under the operation  $T \mapsto T \upharpoonright \eta := \{\nu \in T : \nu \subseteq \eta \vee \eta \subseteq \nu\}$ .  
Then  $\mathbb{P}$  adds a Cohen  $\kappa$ -real.

# What About a Laver Iteration?

## Observation ( $\kappa$ inaccessible)

*A  $\kappa^{++}$ -c.c. forcing iteration of length  $\kappa^{++}$  that cofinally adds Cohen  $\kappa$ -reals will make any set appearing in an intermediate model strong measure zero.*

# What About a Laver Iteration?

## Open Problem

Is there a  $<\kappa$ -closed (or even  $<\kappa$ -distributive) forcing notion adding a dominating  $\kappa$ -real but no Cohen  $\kappa$ -real?

The results of Khomskii, Koelbing, Laguzzi, and Wohofsky 2023 already exclude many natural candidates!

## A Related Problem

On  $\omega$ , Corazza (Corazza 1989) was able to force

$$\mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2 \wedge \mathcal{SN} = [2^\omega]^{<\mathfrak{c}}$$

by using a result of Miller (Miller 1983) to construct a model of  
“Every set of reals of size continuum can be mapped uniformly  
continuously onto  $[0, 1]$ ”.

We follow Corazza’s approach.

# The Forcing

## Definition

Let the forcing  $PT_f$  for  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  consist of all  $<\kappa$ -closed trees  $p \subseteq \kappa^{<\kappa}$  such that

- 1  $\forall \eta \in p \forall i \in \text{dom}(\eta) : \eta(i) < f(i)$
- 2  $\forall \eta \in p : |\text{succ}_p(\eta)| = 1 \vee \text{succ}_p(\eta) = \{\eta \frown j : j < f(\text{dom } \eta)\}$
- 3 Whenever  $b \in [p]$  is a (cofinal) branch of  $p$ , then

$$\{i : b \upharpoonright i \text{ is a splitting node of } p\}$$

is a club in  $\kappa$ .

# The Forcing

## Definition

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$  be a forcing notion and  $(\leq_i)_{i < \kappa}$  be a sequence of reflexive and transitive binary relations on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $(\leq_i) \subseteq (\leq_j) \subseteq (\leq_{\mathcal{P}})$  for  $j < i$ . Then

- 1  $(p_j)_{j < \delta}$  is a *fusion sequence* of length  $\delta \leq \kappa$  iff  $\forall j < k < \delta : p_k \leq_j p_j$ .
- 2  $\mathcal{P}$  has *Property B* iff
  - $(\mathcal{P}, \leq_{\mathcal{P}})$  is  $< \kappa$ -closed.
  - Whenever  $(p_j)_{j < \delta}$  is a fusion sequence in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then there exists a *fusion limit*  $q$  with  $\forall j < \delta : q \leq_j p_j$ .
  - If  $A$  is a maximal antichain,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $i < \kappa$ , then there exists a  $q \leq_i p$  such that  $A \upharpoonright q := \{r \in A : r \parallel q\}$  **has size  $< \kappa$** .



# The Forcing

## Lemma

*For every  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  the forcing  $PT_f$  is  $<\kappa$ -closed and satisfies Property B with*

$$q \leq_{PT_f} p \iff \forall j \leq i : \text{split}_j(p) = \text{split}_j(q).$$

*In particular, it is  $\kappa^\kappa$ -bounding.*

## The Model

Assume  $V \models GCH$  and let  $\langle \mathbb{P}_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\beta : \alpha \leq \kappa^{++}, \beta < \kappa^{++} \rangle$  be a  $\leq \kappa$ -supported forcing iteration with

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_\alpha} \dot{Q}_\alpha = PT_{f_\alpha}$$

where each  $f \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V$  appears cofinally. For technical reasons we require  $f_\alpha \equiv 2$  for  $\alpha = 0$  or cf  $\alpha = \kappa^+$ .

### Theorem

$\mathbb{P}_\alpha$  is  $< \kappa$ -closed,  $\kappa^{++}$ -c.c. and satisfies an iteration version of Property B.

# Easy Inclusion

## Theorem

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models [2^\kappa]^{\leq \kappa^+} \subseteq \mathcal{SN}.$$

## Proof Sketch.

In the extension, let  $X \in [2^\kappa]^{\leq \kappa^+}$  and  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$ . By the  $\kappa^{++}$ -c.c., they both already appear at a stage  $\alpha < \kappa^{++}$ . Find an  $h \in \kappa^\kappa \cap V$  with  $f \leq h$  and a  $\beta > \alpha$  such that  $f_\beta(i) = |2^{h(i)}|$ . By a density argument, the  $\beta$ -th generic real will encode an  $h$ -cover of  $X$ .  $\square$

$$\mathcal{SN} \subseteq [2^\kappa]^{\leq \kappa^+}$$

### Lemma (Key Lemma)

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  force  $\dot{\tau} \in 2^\kappa$  and  $\dot{\tau} \notin V$ . Then there exists a  $q \leq p$  and a uniformly continuous function  $f : 2^\kappa \rightarrow [q(0)]$  in  $V$  such that

$$q \Vdash f(\dot{\tau}) = \dot{s}_0,$$

where  $\dot{s}_0$  denotes the first Sacks real (recall that  $f_0 \equiv 2$ ).

### Lemma

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  be a condition. Then there exists a uniformly continuous  $g : [p(0)] \rightarrow 2^\kappa$  and for each  $x \in 2^\kappa \cap V$  a condition  $q_x \leq p$  such that

$$q_x \Vdash \check{x} = g(\dot{s}_0).$$

## Theorem

*In  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , every set  $X \in [2^\kappa]^{\kappa^{++}}$  can be uniformly continuously mapped onto  $2^\kappa$ .*

Being strong measure zero is preserved by uniformly continuous functions, therefore we are done.

# Stationary Strong Measure Zero

## Observation

$X \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is strong measure zero iff for all  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  there exists an  $(\eta_i)_{i < \kappa}$  such that  $\forall i < \kappa : \eta_i \in 2^{f(i)}$  and for each  $x \in X$

$$|\{i < \kappa : x \in [\eta_i]\}| = \kappa.$$

## Definition (Halko 1996)

$X \subseteq 2^\kappa$  is stationary strong measure zero iff for all  $f \in \kappa^\kappa$  there exists an  $(\eta_i)_{i < \kappa}$  such that  $\forall i < \kappa : \eta_i \in 2^{f(i)}$  and for each  $x \in X$

$$\{i < \kappa : x \in [\eta_i]\}$$


is stationary.

# Stationary Strong Measure Zero

## Theorem

*In the Corazza-type model  $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ , every strong measure zero set is stationary strong measure zero. However, under  $|2^\kappa| = \kappa^+$ , there exists a strong measure zero set that is not stationary strong measure zero.*

## Bibliography

- [Bor19] Émile Borel. “Sur la classification des ensembles de mesure nulle”. In: *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 47 (1919), pp. 97–125. ISSN: 0037-9484. URL: [http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF\\_1919\\_\\_47\\_\\_97\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1919__47__97_0).
- [Cor89] Paul Corazza. “The generalized Borel conjecture and strongly proper orders”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 316.1 (1989), pp. 115–140. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: 10.2307/2001276. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2001276>.
- [GJS93] Martin Goldstern, Haim Judah, and Saharon Shelah. “Strong measure zero sets without Cohen reals”. In: *J. Symbolic Logic* 58.4 (1993), pp. 1323–1341. ISSN: 0022-4812. DOI: 10.2307/2275146. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2275146>.
- [Hal96] Aapo Halko. “Negligible subsets of the generalized Baire space  $\omega_1^{\omega_1}$ ”. In: *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.* 107 (1996), p. 38. ISSN: 1239-6303.
- [HS01] Aapo Halko and Saharon Shelah. “On strong measure zero subsets of  $\kappa^2$ ”. In: *Fund. Math.* 170.3 (2001), pp. 219–229. ISSN: 0016-2736. DOI: 10.4064/fm170-3-1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm170-3-1>.
- [Kho+23] Yurii Khomskii, Marlene Koelbing, Giorgio Laguzzi, and Wolfgang Wohofsky. “Laver trees in the generalized Baire space”. English. In: *Isr. J. Math.* 255.2 (2023), pp. 599–620. ISSN: 0021-2172. 



<https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10718>